



REVIEW

UPDATED Did the elimination of lead from petrol reduce crime in the USA in the 1990s? [v2; ref status: indexed, <http://f1000r.es/220>]

Wayne Hall

NHMRC Australia, The University of Queensland Centre for Clinical Research, Queensland, Australia

v2 First published: 16 Jul 2013, 2:156 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.2-156.v1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-156.v1))
 Latest published: 08 Oct 2013, 2:156 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.2-156.v2](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-156.v2))

Abstract

This article assesses the evidence for the hypothesis that a decline in all types of crime since the early 1990s in the USA was a consequence of removing lead from petrol between 1975 and 1985. It describes ecological and econometric studies that have generally but not always found correlations between lead exposures in childhood and some types of crime 20 years later; a small number of epidemiological studies that have found a dose-response relationship between lead exposure in childhood and self-reported and officially recorded criminal offences in young adulthood; and evidence for the biological plausibility of a causal relationship. Lead exposure in childhood may have played a small role in rising and falling crime rates in the USA but it is unlikely to account for the very high percentage of the decline suggested by the ecological studies. The major anomaly in the evidence is that the associations reported in ecological studies are much stronger (explaining 56-90% of the variation in crime rates) than the weaker relationships found in the cohort studies (that typically explain less than 1% of the variance in offending). Suggestions are made for research that will better assess the contribution that reduced lead exposure has made to declining crime rates in the USA.

Open Peer Review

Referee Status:

	Invited Referees		
	1	2	3
version 2 published 08 Oct 2013	 report	 report	 report
version 1 published 16 Jul 2013	 report	 report	 report

- 1 **David Carpenter**, University at Albany USA
- 2 **Howard Mielke**, Tulane University USA
- 3 **Kenneth Land**, Duke University USA

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

Corresponding author: Wayne Hall (w.hall@uq.edu.au)

How to cite this article: Hall W. Did the elimination of lead from petrol reduce crime in the USA in the 1990s? [v2; ref status: indexed, <http://f1000r.es/220>] F1000Research 2013, 2:156 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.2-156.v2](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-156.v2))

Copyright: © 2013 Hall W. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution Licence](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Grant information: The funding for the preparation of this article was provided by a National Health and Medical Research Council, Australia Fellowship on addiction neuroethics.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

First published: 16 Jul 2013, 2:156 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.2-156.v1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-156.v1))

First indexed: 02 Oct 2013, 2:156 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.2-156.v1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-156.v1))

UPDATED Changes from Version 1

I have addressed Dr Mielke's concern about the meaning of "unintended" by deleting "an unintended" from the first sentence in the abstract (and have corrected the misspelling of the referee's name in the main text). In response to Dr Carpenter's report, I have now included the following sentence from the body of the paper in the abstract to make my position much clearer: "Lead exposure in childhood may have played a small role in rising and falling crime rates in the USA but it is unlikely to account for the very high percentage of the decline suggested by the ecological studies".

See referee reports

Introduction

In the early 1990s the USA experienced a decline in crime that was unprecedented, and contrary to a predicted increase in crime^{1,2}. Rates of all types of crime declined steeply, across all demographic groups and in all geographic regions in the USA². The decline may have been unexpected and unpredicted but, after the fact, there has been no shortage of possible explanations, the merits of which criminologists, economists and sociologists have debated (e.g. Blumstein and Wallman¹, Levitt², Drum³, Nevin⁴ and Reyes⁵).

A number of factors have been accepted as contributing to the decline. These include: increased numbers of police and increased effectiveness of policing²; the incapacitation of recidivist offenders who commit violent crimes by long prison sentences^{2,6}; and a reduction in drug-related violence that followed the decline in crack cocaine use in major US cities in the late 1980s^{7,8}.

A more controversial hypothesis was that the crime decline was a delayed demographic effect of more liberal abortion laws introduced in the USA in the early 1970s after the Roe vs Wade case in the Supreme Court^{2,9}. Liberal abortion laws in the early 1970s, Donohue and Levitt⁹ argued, reduced the number of unwanted male children who were born to unmarried mothers in US cities over subsequent decades. The absence of these children reduced crime rates 20 years later because there were fewer most-at-risk young men to commit violent offences.

Another explanation of the crime decline has recently been proposed^{2,9}, which has one major advantage over other explanations, namely, that it claims to explain not only the steep decline in crime that began in the early 1990s but also the steep rise in crime that occurred between 1960 and 1990^{3,4,10,11}. According to this hypothesis, the rise in crime between 1960 and 1990 was driven by increased exposure of young children to environmental lead (primarily from leaded petrol and secondarily from leaded paint in old inner city housing) between the 1940s and the 1980s^{4,11}. Crime increased 20 years later when the children exposed to lead entered young adulthood, the age at which the majority of crime is committed. The decline in crime after 1990 was an unexpected social benefit of removing lead from petrol in the USA over the period 1975 to 1985⁵.

Why was reduced lead exposure a candidate explanation for the crime reduction?

The rationale for the hypothesis that lead exposure was criminogenic was that (1) lead exposure in childhood has been shown to

reduce IQ and increase impulsiveness, aggressiveness and conduct problems in late childhood; and (2) these characteristics increase the risk that young people will commit criminal offences^{3,5}.

The first observations of the neurological effects of childhood lead exposure were made in Brisbane in the early 1890s¹². For much of the 20th century, lead-based paint was the most common source of lead poisoning in children¹³. In the 1970s, epidemiologists found that elevated blood lead levels were associated with lower IQ and increased rates of behavioural disturbance^{14–16}. Lead in petrol was then identified as the primary source of environmental lead exposure^{14,16}.

The peak body of the lead industry contested these findings. The industry argued that: lead only caused harm at the high blood levels (80 g/dL) found in cases of lead poisoning; that lower lead levels did not cause harm because lead was a "natural" substance that the human body could efficiently excrete; that lead from paint was the major source of exposure in childhood, not lead from petrol; and that lead poisoning was a disease of poverty that occurred in the minority of children who ingested lead^{12,14,16}.

In 1975, the EPA specified that over a five year period, the average lead content of petrol would be reduced from 2 g to 0.5 g per gallon. In 1985, the EPA further reduced the allowable level, effectively removing all lead from petrol¹⁴. The ban on the use of lead in petrol produced very steep declines in the amount of lead in petrol and blood lead levels in children between 1976 and 1980¹⁵. The fact that the steepest decline in blood lead levels coincided with elimination of leaded petrol made it hard for the lead industry to argue that leaded petrol was not a major source of environmental lead exposure in children.

Evaluating the role of lead exposure in crime

In order to evaluate the hypothesis that lead exposure in childhood explains crime in young adulthood we need evidence that: (1) there is an association between lead exposure and crime; (2) the direction of the relationship is from lead exposure to crime rather than vice versa; and (3) enables us to exclude plausible alternative explanations of any association between childhood lead exposure and crime in young adulthood. If all of these conditions can be met, the case for a causal explanation is strengthened by the existence of a plausible mechanism by which lead exposure could increase crime.

Evidence of an association between lead exposure and crime

Ecological correlations. Nevin⁴ reported ecological correlations between lead levels in the childhood environment, blood lead levels in children, and rates of crimes committed 23 years later. He showed that the time series curves for lead exposure and various types of crime (murders, rapes, aggravated assaults, and robberies) very closely followed each other when a 23 year lag was allowed between lead exposures in childhood and criminal activity in young adulthood. Regression analyses indicated that variations in childhood lead exposure explained large proportions of the variation in crime rates 23 years later (46% to 90%).

McCall and Land¹⁷ tested Nevin's⁴ hypothesis by performing an age-period-cohort analysis that compared murder rates between

1960 and 1995 in 11 birth cohorts whose members differed in their lead exposure during childhood. They failed to find any relationship between lead exposure in childhood and murder rates, although the small number of birth cohorts that were available for analysis probably did not provide a powerful test of the hypothesis.

Nevin¹¹ extended his earlier analyses by analysing relationships between lead and crime in the USA and eight other developed countries (Australia, Canada, Finland, France, Italy, New Zealand, United Kingdom, West Germany) over the period 1960 to the early 1990s. He reported similar declines in crime rates in all these countries for all categories of crime (burglary and theft; aggravated assault, sexual assault, and murder). He identified an optimum lag between the elimination of lead in petrol and the onset of crime declines in all these countries as 19 years. The lag varied by type of offence, from 18 years for burglary to 23 years for robbery¹¹. Nevin also reported that lead levels during the 1970s predicted murder rates in large US cities in the period 1985–1994. The major weakness of Nevin's analyses was his limited ability to control for the effects of other factors, apart from unemployment. He did have a crude control for national differences in crime rates between countries by including country as a dummy variable in his regressions.

An econometric study of national US data. Reyes⁵ attempted to address the confounding issue by conducting a detailed econometric analysis of relationships between lead exposure and violent crime over 50 US states during the period 1985–2002. She controlled for state differences in potential confounders and tested the robustness of her results to different ways of measuring lead exposure and different statistical models. Reyes' estimated the lead content of petrol (g per gallon) in each state in each year and compared this measure with blood lead levels for the years in which these data were collected in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) (1976–1980) together with measures of ambient air lead within each state (1960–2000). Crime data were obtained from uniform crime statistics on property crimes, violent offences (assaults and robberies), and murders.

Reyes examined relationships between state crime levels and estimates of lead exposure in children in that state at lags of 20 and 30 years earlier. She controlled for differences between states in: rates of legalised abortion, unemployment, police numbers and incarceration rates, and also controlled for national trends in these factors over time (by including the study year as a variable in her model). She also tested the robustness of her findings to the choice of measure of lead exposure (namely, ambient lead vs lead in gasoline), the choice of statistical model (log-linear vs log-log), and the inclusion or exclusion of data from the states and territory whose large populations and high crime rates may have unduly affected the results (California, New York and Washington, DC).

Reyes found that the average levels of lead in gasoline and blood lead levels in children were correlated ($r = 0.54$) and the correlation increased to 0.84 if data from CA, NY and DC were excluded. She also found a close relationship, with a 20 year time lag, between the decline in lead exposure and the decline in violent crime. And she found that the largest declines in violent crime rates occurred in the states with the largest declines in lead exposure.

Unlike Nevin, Reyes did not find any relationship between lead levels and property crime. The relationship between lead levels and murder were also weak. There was no relationship between lead levels and murder rates when all 50 states were included in the analysis but there was a small effect when CA, NY and DC were excluded from the analysis. Reyes conjectured that this may reflect the contribution made to murder rates by gang-related drug violence in the major cities in these states and territories in the late 1980s.

Reyes estimated that the decline in lead exposure over the study period explained about 56% of the drop in violent crime between 1992 and 2002. She also estimated that legalized abortion accounted for 29% of the drop and that increased police numbers and decreased alcohol use accounted for a smaller proportion of the decline.

Reyes concluded that lead exposure in childhood was causally related to rates of violent crime (assaults and robberies) committed 20 years later when children exposed to lead in childhood entered the peak period of criminal offending. She concluded that "lead exposure was likely an important factor in both the rise and the decline of violent crime in the last 30 years" and that "two major acts of government, the Clean Air Act and Roe v Wade, neither intended to have any effect on crime, may have been the largest factors affecting violent crime trends at the turn of the century" (p 36).

Reyes' model of the effects of lead exposure on crime over-predicted the observed crime decline, that is, according to her model, crime rates should have declined by 56% as a result of the decline in environmental lead but the observed rate of decline was only 34%. She hypothesized that there were other countervailing factors at work that increased crime rates at the same time that declining lead exposure was driving them down.

Ecological studies of large cities. The ecological analyses of Nevin and Reyes suffer from the limitation that they use a single estimated lead exposure for the whole of a state. This ignores the fact that lead exposures differ greatly between the populations of large cities, small cities and rural areas within states. Mielke and Zahran¹⁸ attempted to address this problem by analysing relationships between measures of ambient lead and aggravated assaults per 100,000 people in six large US cities (Atlanta, Chicago, Indianapolis, Minneapolis, New Orleans and San Diego)¹⁸. They argued that aggravated assault was more likely to be impulsive and hence reflect the effects of childhood lead exposure. They estimated annual city burdens of air lead from data on vehicle traffic in metric tons, state gasoline usage, city traffic volume, average miles per gallon and lead per gallon of different types of fuel. They followed Reyes in forward lagging effects of lead exposure on crime rates by 22 years and they controlled for income per capita, and the percentage of city population age group most at risk of committing these offences (15–24 years).

Mielke and Zahran found that ambient lead levels in these cities predicted aggravated assault rates, after controlling for income and demography and baseline differences in crime rates between cities. They estimated that between 66% and 89% of the variations in aggravated assault rates in these cities were explained by variations in ambient lead levels. They also estimated (making worst case assumptions about

the contribution of lead paint to ambient lead) that leaded petrol accounted for at least 85% of the lead to which children were exposed in New Orleans, the city with highest ambient lead.

Epidemiological studies of lead and crime

Sceptical researchers (e.g. Fergusson¹⁹ and Firestone²⁰) have argued that it is hazardous to draw causal inferences about lead exposure and crime from correlations between time series data on lead exposures and crime rates. To do so is to run the risk of committing the ecological fallacy, that is, mistakenly assuming that a correlation between two time series necessarily means that the people committing the crimes are those who were exposed to lead in childhood.

Epidemiological studies rule out the ecological fallacy by examining the relationship between lead exposure and rates of crime in individuals. They thereby enable us to see if it is the individuals with highest lead exposure who commit the most crimes. They also can address the contributions of potential confounders to the relationship by assessing whether lead exposure in childhood predicts crime rates in young adulthood, after controlling for other risk factors for lead exposure and crime.

Needleman *et al.*¹³ reported a case-control study on lead exposure and crime. They compared lead levels in 194 youths aged 12–18 who were “adjudicated” delinquents (i.e. had been found guilty of criminal offences) and 146 non-delinquent control subjects from high schools in the same city. They also measured factors that predict delinquency such as: race, parental education and occupation, number of parents in the home, number of children in the home, and neighbourhood crime rates. They found a large difference in lead concentrations between delinquents and controls in both black and white participants (11 vs 1.5 parts per million (ppm)). The delinquent participants were 1.9 times more likely to have a lead level above 25 ppm than controls. Delinquency was confounded by race: fewer black than white controls were recruited, and more black delinquents came from single parent families and lived in higher crime areas than white delinquents. When potential confounders were included in the model, the strength of the relationship between lead level and delinquency increased to an odds ratio of 4.0 (95% CI: 1.4, 11.1) and lead level was the second strongest predictor of delinquency after race. Lead was the second strongest predictor of delinquency (after being raised by a single parent) when separate analyses were carried out within the black and white samples.

Wright *et al.*²¹ conducted a prospective study of the relationship between lead exposure before birth and until age 6.5 years and records of arrest in 250 primarily African American individuals (90%) who were followed from age 19 to 24 years. Participants were in a cohort study of children born to 376 pregnant women recruited from four prenatal clinics in socially disadvantaged areas of Cincinnati, Ohio. In an earlier follow up of 195 members of the cohort, Dietrich *et al.*²² reported a dose-response association between measures of childhood lead exposure and acts of delinquency from self-report and parental report by age 18 years. These relationships persisted after adjustment for confounders.

Wright *et al.* examined delinquent acts that resulted in arrests in the county in which the participants lived. They found elevated lead

levels (13.4 µg/dL on average during childhood) and an elevated risk of arrest in their sample (54% had been arrested a total of 800 times, 14% for violent offences). The risk of arrest increased with blood lead, after adjustment for: sex; quality of home environment; maternal alcohol, tobacco and illicit drug use during pregnancy; maternal arrests rates, socioeconomic status (SES), number of children in the home and whether the mother was on welfare. The risk of any arrest increased 1.40 times for each increase of 5 µg/dL in prenatal blood and 1.27 for each increment in average blood lead level during childhood. The risk of arrest for violent offences increased 1.34 times for each 5 µg/dL increase in antenatal blood lead, and 1.48 for each 5 µg/dL increase in average blood lead during childhood.

Fergusson *et al.* reported a prospective study of the relationship between childhood lead exposure between 6 and 9 years, measured in deciduous teeth, and (i) convictions and (ii) self-reported criminal activity between the ages of 14 and 21 years in a New Zealand birth cohort of 1011 individuals. They found dose-response relationships between lead in teeth and both convictions for property and/or violent offences and self-reported rates of property and/or violent crimes. The relationship was stronger for self-reported crime, probably because conviction rates were much lower. Relationships persisted after adjustment for confounders (maternal education, ethnicity, family conflict, maternal smoking during pregnancy, exposure to childhood physical abuse, parental alcohol and drug use and offending).

Fergusson *et al.* also tested a plausible causal pathway for the effects of lead on crime. They assessed whether the relationship between lead dentine levels and crime could be explained by a decline in IQ produced by lead exposure. Adjustment for educational failure eliminated the association between self-reported crime and lead levels but the association with convictions persisted, albeit much attenuated. They estimated lead exposure explained less than 1% of the variance in convictions and self-reported crime and argued that this was too weak to explain Nevin’s very high estimates of the percentage variation in crime rates attributed to lead exposure (63–90%)¹¹.

Excluding plausible alternative explanations

Lead exposure and violent crime are correlated in ecological studies and in a small number of epidemiological studies in the USA and New Zealand. The prospective epidemiological studies indicate that lead exposure in childhood precedes criminal acts in young adulthood but it is much more difficult to exclude other plausible explanations of the association. Foremost among these is the possibility that the association can be explained by uncontrolled factors that increase the likelihood of being exposed to lead and of committing crimes (e.g. living in disadvantaged high crime inner city areas where lead exposure is common). This is a special challenge in the USA because the most socially disadvantaged African-American populations live in inner city areas with high levels of exposure to dust from lead-pigmented paints and, until the late 1970s, high levels of lead from leaded petrol from the freeways that ran through inner city housing in large US cities¹¹.

Nevin’s ecological studies had limited ability to address this confounding. Reyes controlled for some confounding factors using

state data on unemployment, number of police officers and so on. Potential confounders were better controlled in two of the prospective epidemiological studies. In the Wright *et al.* study, the whole sample came from a very socially disadvantaged population and had high average lead exposure throughout childhood. Yet Wright *et al.* found a relationship between lead exposure during childhood and arrest for all crimes, and for violent crimes in adolescence and young adulthood. These relationships persisted after controlling for potential confounders.

The Fergusson *et al.* study was conducted in a very different cultural setting. Christchurch in New Zealand has much lower rates of social disadvantage than Cincinnati in the USA, a good social welfare safety net, and a very different minority ethnic group with a higher rate of criminal convictions. There were also much lower average lead levels in Christchurch than in Cincinnati but Fergusson *et al.* nonetheless found a dose-response relationship between lead exposure in childhood and rates of criminal convictions and self-reported criminal offences committed by age 21. These relationships persisted after adjustment for confounders. There was also a plausible mechanism in that controlling for IQ reduced the strength of the relationship between lead and rates of self-reported criminal offences.

Biological plausibility

There is a consilience of evidence in support of the hypothesis that lead exposure in childhood increases the risk of criminal offending in young adulthood. Low intelligence increases the risk of offending, and there is a dose-response relationship between lead exposure in childhood and a decline in average IQ^{13,19,23}. Fergusson *et al.*¹⁹ also showed that the relationship between dentine lead levels and self-reported crime was no longer significant after adjusting for school completion.

There is also support for a second way that lead exposure may increase offending, namely, that lead exposure in childhood makes adolescents more impulsive, hyperactive and aggressive. Meta-analyses find small but consistent correlations between blood and dentine measures of lead exposure and symptoms of conduct problems ($r = 0.15$)²⁴, inattentiveness ($r = 0.14$) and hyperactivity ($r = 0.12$)²⁵. These patterns of behaviour in turn increase the risk of antisocial behaviour in adolescence and young adulthood²⁶. A recent neuroimaging study of the Cincinnati cohort reported correlations between childhood lead exposure and the size of frontal areas in the brain implicated in executive functioning, mood regulation and decision-making²⁷.

Does the decline in lead exposure explain the decline in crime in the USA?

Eliminating lead from petrol in the USA between 1975 and 1985 dramatically reduced lead exposure in childhood and this occurred over a period that could explain the post-1990 decline in crime in the USA. Ecological studies have generally but not always found correlations between lead exposures in childhood and crime rates 20 years later. But these studies have not found the same relationships between lead exposure and crime. Nevin¹¹ found a relationship for *all* types of crime (violence, sexual assault, murder and property crime) but Reyes only found a relationship for violent offences and there was only a suggestive relationship for murder. Mielke

and Zahran's supported Reyes findings in their analysis of aggravated assault in six US cities. McCall and Land's¹⁷ age-period-cohort analysis found no association between lead exposure and rates of murder in their age-period-cohort analysis.

There are a small number of epidemiological studies that find dose-response relationships between lead exposure in childhood and both self-reported and officially recorded criminal offences in young adulthood. A causal relationship is biologically plausible because lead is neurotoxic in animals, produces hyperactivity, and impairs learning²⁸. There is now suggestive human neuroimaging evidence that lead exposure is related to size reductions in brain regions involved in executive functioning and decision making²⁷.

But the evidence is not sufficient to conclude that variations in environmental lead exposure in childhood over the past 50 or so years in the USA explain, first the rise, and then the decline in crime rates. The major reason for doubt is that the associations in ecological studies are much stronger (explaining 60–90% of the variation in crime rates) than the weaker relationships in the cohort studies (that explain less than 1% of the variance in offending)¹⁹. Lead exposure in childhood may have played a small role in rising and falling crime rates in the USA but it is unlikely to account for the very high percentage of the decline suggested by Nevin¹¹ and Reyes⁵.

This issue is not solely a matter of interest only to criminologists. Understanding the reasons for the US crime decline has important implications for social policy. If lead exposure is a major cause of the decline, then we could avoid mistaken investments in crime reduction strategies that have been erroneously given the credit for the crime decline. We would also need to weigh the costs and benefits of different ways of reducing residual childhood lead exposures in inner city areas.

The contribution of lead exposure to crime rates deserves serious research attention. We need more cohort studies in environments where lead exposure remains high, particularly in developing countries such as China. The results of these studies could inform epidemiological modelling to estimate the likely population level effects on crime of observed reductions in lead exposure. This modelling would test the plausibility of the lead hypothesis. So too would controlled evaluations of the costs and benefits of reducing environmental lead exposure in high crime inner city areas or moving highly exposed populations from areas of high lead burden.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

The funding for the preparation of this article was provided by a National Health and Medical Research Council, Australia Fellowship on addiction neuroethics.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

I would like to acknowledge Sarah Yeates for assistance in searching the literature and preparing the manuscript for publication and Dr Don

Weatherburn, Director of the Bureau of Crime Statistics and Research in the NSW Attorney General's Department, for commenting on a draft article.

References

- Blumstein A, Wallman J: **The recent rise and fall of American violence**. In: Blumstein A, Wallman J, eds. *The crime drop in America*. Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press, 2000; 1–12.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Levitt S: **Understanding why crime fell in the 1990s: four factors that explain the decline and six that do not**. *J Econ Perspect*. 2004; **18**: 163–90.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Drum K: **America's real criminal element: lead**. *Mother Jones*. 2013.
[Reference Source](#)
- Nevin R: **How lead exposure relates to temporal changes in IQ, violent crime, and unwed pregnancy**. *Environ Res*. 2000; **83**: 1–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Reyes J: **Environmental policy as social policy? The impact of childhood lead exposure on crime**. *B E J Econom Anal Policy*. 2007; **7**(1).
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Spelman W: **The limited importance of prison expansion**. In: Blumstein A, Wallman J, eds. *The crime drop in America*. Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press, 2000; 97–129.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Fryer R, Heaton P, Levitt S, et al.: **Measuring crack cocaine and its impact**. *Econ Inq*. 2013; **51**: 1651–81.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Johnson B, Golub A, Dunlap E: **The rise and decline of hard drugs, drug markets and violence in inner-city New York**. In: Blumstein A, Wallman J, eds. *The crime drop in America*. Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press, 2000; 164–206.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Donohue JJ III, Levitt S: **The impact of legalized abortion on crime**. *Q J Econ*. 2001; **116**: 379–420.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Monbiot G: **Yes, lead poisoning could really be a cause of violent crime**. It seems crazy, but the evidence about lead is stacking up. Behind crimes that have destroyed so many lives, is there a much greater crime? *The Guardian*. 2013.
[Reference Source](#)
- Nevin R: **Understanding international crime trends: the legacy of preschool lead exposure**. *Environ Res*. 2007; **104**: 315–36.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Rosner D, Markowitz G, Lanphear B, J. Lockhart Gibson and the discovery of the impact of lead pigments on children's health: a review of a century of knowledge. *Public Health Rep*. 2005; **120**: 296–300.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Needleman H, McFarland C, Ness RB, et al.: **Bone lead levels in adjudicated delinquents. A case control study**. *Neurotoxicol Teratol*. 2002; **24**: 711–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Markowitz G, Rosner D: **Lead wars: the politics of science and the fate of America's children**. Berkeley; New York: University of California Press; Milbank Memorial Fund, 2013.
[Reference Source](#)
- Needleman H: **Lead poisoning**. *Annu Rev Med*. 2004; **55**: 209–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Needleman H: **The removal of lead from gasoline: historical and personal reflections**. *Environ Res*. 2000; **84**: 20–35.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- McCall P, Land K: **Trends in environmental lead exposure and troubled youth, 1960–1995: an age-period-cohort-characteristic analysis**. *Soc Sci Res*. 2004; **33**: 339–59.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Mielke HW, Zahran S: **The urban rise and fall of air lead (Pb) and the latent surge and retreat of societal violence**. *Environ Int*. 2012; **43**: 48–55.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Fergusson DM, Boden JM, Horwood LJ: **Dentine lead levels in childhood and criminal behaviour in late adolescence and early adulthood**. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2008; **62**: 1045–50.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Firestone S: **Does lead exposure cause violent crime? The science is still out**. *Discover: The Magazine of Science, Technology, and the Future*. 2013.
[Reference Source](#)
- Wright J, Dietrich K, Ris M, et al.: **Association of prenatal and childhood blood lead concentrations with criminal arrests in early adulthood**. *PLoS Med*. 2008; **5**: e101.
[Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Dietrich K, Ris M, Succop P, et al.: **Early exposure to lead and juvenile delinquency**. *Neurotoxicol Teratol*. 2001; **23**: 511–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Lanphear B, Hornung R, Khoury J, et al.: **Low-level environmental lead exposure and children's intellectual function: an international pooled analysis**. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2005; **113**: 894–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Marcus D, Fulton J, Clarke E: **Lead and conduct problems: a meta-analysis**. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol*. 2010; **39**: 234–41.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Goodlad JK, Marcus DK, Fulton JJ: **Lead and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms: a meta-analysis**. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 2013; **33**: 417–25.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Raine A: **The anatomy of violence: the biological roots of crime**. London: Allen Lane, 2013.
[Reference Source](#)
- Cecil KM, Brubaker CJ, Adler C, et al.: **Decreased brain volume in adults with childhood lead exposure**. *PLoS Med*. 2008; **5**: e112.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Silbergeld E: **Experimental studies of lead toxicity: implications for mechanisms, dose response and reversibility** In: Rutter M, Russell Jones R, eds. *Lead versus health: sources and effects of low level lead exposure*. Chichester: Wiley, 1983; 191–216.

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:



Version 2

Referee Report 10 December 2013

doi:10.5256/f1000research.2664.r2718



Kenneth Land

Population Research Institute, Duke University, NC, USA

The revision to this article clarifies its conclusion. My commentary on the previous version to the effect that both criminology and epidemiology perspectives are important for an understanding of crime trends in the U.S. (and the extent to which the presence of lead in petrol was a contributing factor) still holds.

For instance, consider the data plotted in **Figure 1**: this rate is calculated annually per 1,000 individuals in the 12 to 17 age group and is based on the perceived ages of the offenders as estimated by violent crime victims. It can be seen from the figure that the violent crime offending rate begins to rise in the late 1980s - just when the adolescents who should have been benefitting from the mandated reductions in average lead content of petrol from 2 g to 0.5 g per gallon in the 1975 to 1980 period were coming into the 12 to 17 age group. This uptrend continued to a peak in 1993 with generally high levels in the 1990-1995 years, even though all members of this age group in those years were born well after the mandated reductions began in 1975 to 1980. My point is not that lead plays no role in violent crime rates (almost surely, among children exposed to high levels of lead, the risk of crime offending will be higher than among children not so exposed) but that this is only one factor among many in determining overall societal crime rates and trends therein.

Figure 1: Trends in the violent crime offending rate among U.S. adolescents and teenagers ages 12-17 from the National Crime Victimization Surveys for the years 1975 to 2010 with projections for 2011 and 2012.

Source: Land, Kenneth C. 2013 NATIONAL Child and Youth Well-Being Index (CWI). New York: Foundation for Child Development.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Referee Report 29 October 2013

doi:10.5256/f1000research.2664.r2248



David Carpenter

School of Public Health, University at Albany, NY, USA

My previous comments still apply. The one added sentence does not significantly change what I see to be a faulty conclusion of the data presented.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Version 1

Referee Report 02 October 2013

doi:[10.5256/f1000research.1877.r1933](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.1877.r1933)

**Kenneth Land**

Population Research Institute, Duke University, NC, USA

Title and Abstract: The title and abstract are appropriate to the content of the article. The abstract represents a suitable summary of the work.

Article content: The article is a survey and review of prior research on the topic of whether or not the decline in all types of crime since the early 1990s in the USA was an unintended consequence of the elimination of lead from petrol between 1975 and 1985. This review is competently and adequately done for the most part. Its conceptual orientation comes from epidemiology, which is good, but the incorporation of the body of research done on this topic from a criminology perspective would add greater substance and depth to the article. The synthesis of these two perspectives would likely produce the inference that although the presence of lead in petrol was an influencing factor on the rise and subsequent decline of crime rates in the USA, it was but one of many factors and cannot account for these secular trends alone.

Conclusions: The conclusions are sensible, balanced and justified on the basis of the content of the survey and review of the existing research.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Referee Report 05 September 2013

doi:[10.5256/f1000research.1877.r1690](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.1877.r1690)



Howard Mielke

School of Medicine, Tulane University, LA, USA

This article is a good summary of the existing evidence on lead and violence and there's not much to dispute about it. However, a change in the perspective would improve it and at least one correction is needed.

In the abstract the word '*unintended*' is not correctly placed or in context of the problem. If anything, the unintended consequence is the plausible link between the toxic response due to rapid increase in the commercial consumption of fuel containing lead additives (especially in the 1950's and 1960's) and the unintended cascade of societal health costs, including learning disabilities and criminal behaviour, that was triggered around 20 years later. These consequences are only becoming appreciated recently, after the use of lead additives in fuels has decreased ¹.

Ecological studies like ours provide suggestive evidence, however when coupled with neuroimaging studies, animal studies and individual-level analyses, the current state of the science on lead provides strong evidence for a serious societal problem in need of attention to better protect future generations ¹. The lead story is rich and so well-documented that the benefits of using resources for cleaning up play areas and improving the environment for children is becoming recognized by progressive nations as a cost effective and important activity ².

Correction: Page 5: "Meilke"--Mielke is misspelled.

References

1. U.S. Department of Health and Human Sciences National Toxicology Program: *Monograph on the Health Effects of Low-level Lead*. (2012). [Reference Source](#)
2. Ottesen RT, Alexander J, Langedal M, Haugland T, Høygaard E: Soil pollution in day-care centers and playgrounds in Norway: national action plan for mapping and remediation. *Environ Geochem Health*. 2008; **30**: 623-37 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: I am the co-author of reference #18. I have no financial competing interests.

Referee Report 19 August 2013

doi:[10.5256/f1000research.1877.r1532](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.1877.r1532)

**David Carpenter**

School of Public Health, University at Albany, NY, USA

This is a good review of the published literature, but the conclusions drawn by the author are not consistent with the data presented, specifically the statement "*the evidence is not sufficient to conclude that variations in environmental lead exposure in childhood over the past 50 or so years in the USA explain, first the rise, and then the decline in crime rates*".

The evidence used to argue against this conclusion is primarily a study done in New Zealand, not in the US, plus a very limited cohort study by McCall and Land.

The issue of concern is whether lead plays a role in rates of crime, and while one can argue about the percentage due to lead, the overall information certainly indicates that lead exposure is particularly important. This needs to be stated in a much more balanced fashion. Because this is the conclusion it is important that it be both balanced and supported by the data. If you discount lead exposure then there must be presentation of a credible alternative explanation, and none of the others are well supported by evidence.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
